



Molly C. Dwyer
Clerk of Court

Office of the Clerk
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Post Office Box 193939
San Francisco, California 94119-3939
415-355-8000

FILED

JUN 10 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TIME SCHEDULE NOTICE

Docket Number: 25-3664
Originating Case Number: 2:25-cv-00814-BJR

Short Title: County of King, et al. v. Turner, et al.

Dear Appellant/Counsel

Your notice of appeal has been received in the Clerk's office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The U.S. Court of Appeals docket number shown above has been assigned to this case. You must indicate this Court of Appeals docket number whenever you communicate with this court regarding this case.

Motions filed along with the notice of appeal in the district court are not automatically transferred to this court for filing. Any motions seeking relief from this court must be separately filed in this court's docket.

Please furnish this docket number immediately to the court reporter if you place an order, or have placed an order, for portions of the trial transcripts. The court reporter will need this docket number when communicating with this court.

You must file a Disclosure Statement (Form 34) within 14 days of this notice if your case: (1) involves a non-governmental corporation, association, joint venture, partnership, limited liability company, or similar entity; (2) is a bankruptcy case; (3) is a criminal case involving an organizational victim; or (4) involves review of state court proceedings. See Ninth Circuit Rule 26-1.1.

Failure of the appellant(s) to comply with the time schedule order will result in dismissal of the appeal.

Please read the enclosure materials carefully.



Office of the Clerk
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Post Office Box 193939
San Francisco, California 94119-3939
415-355-8000

Molly C. Dwyer
Clerk of Court

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TIME SCHEDULE NOTICE

Docket Number: 25-3664
Originating Case Number: 2:25-cv-00814-BJR

Case Title: County of King, et al. v. Turner, et al.

Monday, June 16, 2025

Scott Turner	Mediation Questionnaire due
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development	Mediation Questionnaire due
Sean Duffy	Mediation Questionnaire due
United States Department of Transportation	Mediation Questionnaire due
Federal Transit Administration	Mediation Questionnaire due
Tariq Bokhari	Mediation Questionnaire due
Gloria M Shepherd	Mediation Questionnaire due
United States Federal Highway Administration	Mediation Questionnaire due
Chris Rocheleau	Mediation Questionnaire due
Federal Aviation Administration	Mediation Questionnaire due
Drew Feeley	Mediation Questionnaire due
Federal Railroad Administration	Mediation Questionnaire due

Tuesday, July 8, 2025

Scott Turner	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due

Sean Duffy	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
United States Department of Transportation	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Federal Transit Administration	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Tariq Bokhari	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Gloria M Shepherd	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
United States Federal Highway Administration	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Chris Rocheleau	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Federal Aviation Administration	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Drew Feeley	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
Federal Railroad Administration	Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief Due
 Tuesday, August 5, 2025	
County of King	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
County of Pierce	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
County of Snohomish	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City and County of San Francisco	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
County of Santa Clara	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Boston	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Columbus	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due

City of New York	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Tucson	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Pasadena	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
County of Pima	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Santa Monica	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Nashville	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
County of Davidson	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
Port of Seattle	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
Intercity Transit	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Pittsburgh	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Cambridge	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
County of Sonoma	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Minneapolis	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City and County of Denver	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Portland	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Wilsonville	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
Santa Monica Housing Authority	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due

San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Chicago	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Bend	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of San Jose	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due
City of Culver City	Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief Due

If there were reported hearings, the parties shall designate and, if necessary, cross-designate the transcripts pursuant to 9th Cir. R. 10-3. If there were no reported hearings, the transcript deadlines do not apply.

The optional reply may be filed within 21 days of service of the answering brief. See Fed. R. App. P. 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.

Failure of the appellant to comply with the time schedule order may result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. See 9th Cir. R. 42-1.